Functional Data Governance Committee

Agenda

Virtual Meeting Thursday July 30, 2020 Functional Data Governance Committee Meeting #6

Invited:

Gibbons, Michael michael.gibbons@mga.edu (Chair) Allen, Shane J. shane.allen@mga.edu Ardelean, Ron ron.ardelean@mga.edu Bergman, Beverly beverly.bergman@mga.edu Boswell, Samantha samantha.boswell@mga.edu Carty, Cheryl S. cheryl.carty@mga.edu Colvin, Christy christy.colvin@mga.edu Crider, Erin erin.crider@mga.edu Davis, Julie julie.davis3@mga.edu Dver, Geoff Geoffrey.dyer@mga.edu Gay, Laura laura.gay@mga.edu Gregg, April april.gregg@mga.edu (as recorder only) Funches, Amanda N amanda.funches@mga.edu Matthews, Debra H. debra.matthews@mga.edu Mitchell, Dian dian.mitchell@mga.edu Mitchell, Tripp tripp.mitchell@mga.edu Morgan, Joel P. joel.morgan@mga.edu Ratzlaff, Barbara barbara.ratzlaff@mga.edu Register, Amanda amanda.register@mga.edu Roland, Shane shane.roland@mga.edu Sims, David S. david.sims@mga.edu Stanley, Brian brian.stanley@mga.edu Stewart, Michael michael.stewart@mga.edu Tsavatewa, Chris C. chris.tsavatewa@mga.edu Waters, Josh E. josh.waters@mga.edu Woodham, Margo A. margo.woodham@mga.edu

- 1. Approve Agenda
- 2. Review and Approve Minutes
- 3. Updates from the FDC Chair:
 - a. Back on Track BPM Timeline 12/31/20
 - b. Be prepared for Committee Assignments in New Business
 - c. Update GLBA Audit (Dyer and Morgan)

4. Old Business

- a. Tier 1 Subcommittee Lead Updates
 - 1. Update USG (Tsavatewa, C)
 - 2. Publishing Standards (Gibbons, M)

b. Tier 2 Subcommittee Lead Updates

- 1. Data Systems Inventory and Data Availability (Stewart, M)
- 2. Data Governance (Gibbons, M)
- 3. Training (Ardelean, R)

c. Technical Data Governance Committee Update

1. Update (Dyer, G)

d. New Business

1. Tier 3 Discussion – Review criteria (All elements require a YES) at <u>https://www.usg.edu/business</u> procedures manual/section12/C1643

• Section 12.3.2 Data Elements and Data Definition Documentation

- 1. For mission critical data systems, has the institution augmented the data system documentation with documentation of each system's table structure and data elements or where these items can be found?
- 2. For managed service providers (including ITS) of mission critical data systems, does the institution have a means to access system table structure and data elements? While table structure, etc. may be proprietary and unavailable, is there a means for system users to understand how data should be entered, used, and reported?
- 3. For data systems that are part of routine data collection and reporting, are data element dictionaries maintained that include: · Data definitions; · Metadata including data sources and security classifications; · Business practices where applicable; · Any validations or quality checks applied against the elements; · Change history; and, · Valid values.

• Section 12.3.3 Data Quality Control

- Has the institution documented and promulgated data standards and definitions to ensure accurate data entry/creation?

 These standards and definitions should be part of data element dictionaries where they exist for data systems per subsection 12.3.2 above.
- 2. Are there documented schedules of collection times and when information is updated to ensure timeliness of data?

- 3. Does the institution assess collected data on at least an annual basis to ensure accuracy, completeness, and adherence to standards? · Data entry validations are best practice.
- 4. Does the institution regularly consult data users or stakeholders to ensure data usability and relevance?

• Section 12.3.5 Data Life Cycle

- 1. Has the institution ensured their data retention and destruction practices comply with the minimum record retention requirements set forth in system records management policy (http://www.usg.edu/records_management/)?
- 2. Has the institution ensured that data retention and destruction practices also comply with other relevant regulations (e.g. GDPR, FERPA, etc.)
- 3. Has the institution created and documented processes that ensure compliance with the policy including assurance of proper data disposal?
- 4. If an institution maintains any data collections longer than the minimum time period required by the record retention policy, has it documented justification for that decision and selected a storage means that minimizes risk and cost?

• Section 12.5.4 Monitor

- 1. Has the institution documented the policies, procedures, roles and structures it has put in place to carry out compliance with Section 12?
- 2. Has the institution assigned roles and responsibilities for active monitoring of these policies, procedure, roles, and structures to ensure ongoing integrity in implementation?
- 3.
- Section 12.5.4 Audit
 - 1. Requisite documentation for the other subsections is provided below:
- GDPR Moved
- New Committee Assignments
- 5. Adjournment