Associate of Arts in Modern Language, Online

Semester reporting: Spring Semester 2022

Reporting cycle: Annual Reporting Cycle

Academic Program Assessment Report Information

Prepared on: 6/7/2022 9:40:31 AM	Prepared by: sabrina.wengier@mga.edu	
Email address of person responsible for this	sabrina.wengier@mga.edu	
report:		
In which college or school is this program	Arts and Letters	
located?		
Program Type:	Undergraduate	
Approximately how many students are in this	3	
program at this location?		

Student Learning Outcomes

SLO1

SLO 1: What is the first Student Learning	Graduates will apply target language proficiency
Outcome for this academic program? Student	in conversation.
Learning Outcomes should be stated in	
measurable terms (i.e. students will be able	
to)	
SLO 1: What instrument (assessment type) was	Oral proficiency is tested through an oral
used to measure student's ability to	presentation (assessed with a rubric) at the end
demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome?	of the semester in ML 2002.
(I.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech,	
demonstration of ability, lab assignment) and	
provide specific details of the instrument (e.g.	
Exam 2, Course HLSA 3800; Final Group Project,	
HIST 3900) is learning outcome?	
SLO 1: What target performance level would a	80% of students will earn at least a score of 80 on
student need to achieve on the assessment	the oral presentation.
instrument to demonstrate mastery of this	
learning outcome? (I.e. 80% of all students will	
earn an average grade of 75% or better on)	
SLO 1: Provide details for your target	past performance data
performance level established (i.e. accreditation	
requirement, past performance data, peer	
program review, etc.)	
SLO 1: During this assessment cycle, what	0
percent of the students who participated in this	
assessment met the target performance level	
and demonstrated mastery of this learning	
outcome.	
SLO 1: Improvement Plans and Evidence of	ML 2002 is not offered online.
changes based on an analysis of the results:	
What changes were implemented based on an	
analysis of the students' performance on this	
Student Learning Outcome? (Evidence of the	
improvement must be kept and filed in the	
department or academic unit including but not	
limited to: changes in exam questions, reading	
assignments, syllabi, course instruction	
materials or assignments. Both old versions and	
new versions should be kept on file for 10 years.	
Major changes to curriculum must go through	
the Academic Affairs process.)	
· ·	

SLO 2: What is the second Student Learning Outcome for this academic program? Student Learning Outcomes should be stated in measurable terms (i.e. students will be able to)	Graduates will apply target language proficiency in essays evaluated on grammar, syntax, vocabulary, and mechanics.
SLO 2: What instrument (assessment type) was used to measure student's ability to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (I.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech, demonstration of ability, lab assignment) and provide specific details of the instrument (e.g. Exam 2, Course HLSA 3800; Final Group Project, HIST 3900) is learning outcome?	Written proficiency is tested through a written essay (assessed with a rubric) at the end of the semester in ML 2002.
SLO 2: What target performance level would a student need to achieve on the assessment instrument to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (I.e. 80% of all students will earn an average grade of 75% or better on)	80% of students will earn at least a score of 80 on the written essay.
SLO 2: Provide details for your target performance level established (i.e. accreditation requirement, past performance data, peer program review, etc.)	past performance data
SLO 2: During this assessment cycle, what percent of the students who participated in this assessment met the target performance level and demonstrated mastery of this learning outcome.	0
SLO 2: Improvement Plans and Evidence of changes based on an analysis of the results: What changes were implemented based on an analysis of the students' performance on this Student Learning Outcome? (Evidence of the improvement must be kept and filed in the department or academic unit including but not limited to: changes in exam questions, reading assignments, syllabi, course instruction materials or assignments. Both old versions and new versions should be kept on file for 10 years. Major changes to curriculum must go through the Academic Affairs process.)	ML 2002 is not offered online.

SLO 3: What is the third Student Learning Outcome for this academic program? Student Learning Outcomes should be stated in	Graduates will interpret main ideas, key information, and specific arguments from written passages in the target language.
measurable terms (i.e. students will be able	
to) SLO 3: What instrument (assessment type) was	Reading comprehension is assessed in a test
used to measure student's ability to	portion at the end of the semester.
demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome?	portion at the end of the semester.
(I.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech,	
demonstration of ability, lab assignment) and	
provide specific details of the instrument (e.g.	
Exam 2, Course HLSA 3800; Final Group Project,	
HIST 3900) is learning outcome?	
SLO 3: What target performance level would a	80% of students will earn at least a score of 80 on
student need to achieve on the assessment	the reading comprehension test portion at the
instrument to demonstrate mastery of this	end of ML 2001.
learning outcome? (I.e. 80% of all students will	
earn an average grade of 75% or better on)	
SLO 3: Provide details for your target	past performance data
performance level established (i.e. accreditation	
requirement, past performance data, peer	
program review, etc.)	
SLO 3: During this assessment cycle, what	66%
percent of the students who participated in this	
assessment met the target performance level	
and demonstrated mastery of this learning	
outcome.	
SLO 3: Improvement Plans and Evidence of	Program goal is not met.
changes based on an analysis of the results:	The program implemented a learning initiative
What changes were implemented based on an	some years ago: an in-class reading workshop
analysis of the students' performance on this	targeting reading comprehension. The program
Student Learning Outcome? (Evidence of the	has been successful in the past few years with
improvement must be kept and filed in the	meeting this goal. It is to be noted that out of the
department or academic unit including but not	three students assessed for this SLO, the student
limited to: changes in exam questions, reading	who did not meet the goal scored 79, so very
assignments, syllabi, course instruction	close to the 80 threshold.
materials or assignments. Both old versions and	
new versions should be kept on file for 10 years.	
Major changes to curriculum must go through	
the Academic Affairs process.)	

SLO 4: What is the fourth Student Learning	Graduates will identify and summarize cultural
Outcome for this academic program? Student	knowledge from various texts.
Learning Outcomes should be stated in	
measurable terms (i.e. students will be able	
to)	Cultural line and of the
SLO 4: What instrument (assessment type) was	Cultural knowledge is assessed at the end of the
used to measure student's ability to	semester through a test portion of in an exam in
demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome?	ML 2002.Graduates will identify and summarize
(I.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech,	cultural knowledge from various texts.
demonstration of ability, lab assignment) and	
provide specific details of the instrument (e.g.	
Exam 2, Course HLSA 3800; Final Group Project,	
HIST 3900) is learning outcome?	000/ 6
SLO 4: What target performance level would a	80% of students will earn at least a score of 80 on
student need to achieve on the assessment	the test portion related to culture.
instrument to demonstrate mastery of this	
learning outcome? (I.e. 80% of all students will	
earn an average grade of 75% or better on)	
SLO 4: Provide details for your target	past performance data
performance level established (i.e. accreditation	
requirement, past performance data, peer	
program review, etc.)	
SLO 4: During this assessment cycle, what	0
percent of the students who participated in this	
assessment met the target performance level	
and demonstrated mastery of this learning	
outcome.	
SLO 4: Improvement Plans and Evidence of	ML 2002 is not offered online.
changes based on an analysis of the results:	
What changes were implemented based on an	
analysis of the students' performance on this	
Student Learning Outcome? (Evidence of the	
improvement must be kept and filed in the	
department or academic unit including but not	
limited to: changes in exam questions, reading	
assignments, syllabi, course instruction	
materials or assignments. Both old versions and	
new versions should be kept on file for 10 years.	
Major changes to curriculum must go through	
the Academic Affairs process.)	

Sampling

How many students participated in the	3
assessment of these learning outcomes, in this	
program, for this assessment cycle at this	
location?	

Open Box for Assessment Comments

Required: In this field, please document the overall use of assessment results for continuous improvement (consider the past, present, and future and specifically address these in your narrative).

Please note that no ML major was enrolled in 2002 this year; therefore, we are using the students who declared a Spanish minor to assess SLO 1, 2, and 4.

The comments below apply to the Modern Language program as a whole, regardless of location and modality.

Prior year SLO Assessment Initiatives and Results: We have 2 ongoing learning initiatives that have been successful to help us meet our goals: a targeted grammar review for SLO 2 and a reading comprehension workshop for SLO3.

The program did not meet its goal for SLO4 in AY 20-21 and the following initiative was implemented: a review quiz of cultural concepts with multiple attempts to be conducted in class or online.

In AY 20-21, 33.33% of the students were successful; this year, 50% were successful, which shows some improvement.

Assessment Initiative/Plan for Upcoming Year: The program did not meet its goal for SLO 3 Reading Comprehension and SLO 4 Cultural Knowledge. Faculty met and decided the following:

- SLO 3 Reading Comprehension: Because the student learning initiative that was implemented a few years ago (SLI: an in-class workshop targeting reading comprehension skills) had been successful in previous years and because the student who did not meet the goal was 1 point shy of the 80% benchmark, faculty decided to keep the learning initiative as is and to reassess next year, depending on the program outcomes.
- SLO 4 Cultural Knowledge: The faculty decided to keep the current SLI (review quiz of cultural concepts with multiple attempts to be conducted in class or online) since the success

	rate rose from 33.33% in AY20-21 to 50% in AY21-22. The program will also add an SLI starting in ML 1001: cultural knowledge questions will be included as a test portion starting in ML 1001 through 2002. Students will therefore have more time to assimilate cultural knowledge. Summary of curricular changes that were implemented in AY21-22 to ensure continuous improvement. Revised SLOs to ensure to ensure consistency between program goals, classroom practice, and assessment tools. The revised program SLOs are the following: 1. Graduates will apply target language proficiency in oral form. 2. Graduates will apply target language proficiency in essays evaluated on content, grammar, sentence structure, vocabulary, and organization. 3. Graduates will interpret main ideas, key information, and specific arguments from written passages in the target language. 4. Graduates will identify and/or summarize cultural knowledge from various sources. The program also changed two Area F requirements from a restricted list of electives to open electives to help with progression to graduation.
Optional Open Text Box For Assessment Comments:	
If the COVID-19 pandemic impacted this	
assessment cycle, please provide specific details	
below. (Also submit any COVID-19	
correspondence from your accrediting body to	
assessment@mga.edu when you submit this	
form with your Department name and program	
in the subject line.)	