Bachelor of Science in Information Technology, Cochran, Cochran

Semester reporting: Fall Semester 2021

Reporting cycle: Annual Reporting Cycle

Academic Program Assessment Report Information

Prepared on: 7/29/2022 12:59:43 PM	Prepared by: neil.rigole@mga.edu
Email address of person responsible for this	neil.rigole@mga.edu
report:	
In which college or school is this program	Computing
located?	
Program Type:	Undergraduate
Approximately how many students are in this	0
program at this location?	

Student Learning Outcomes

SLO1

SLO 1: What is the first Student Learning	NA – Due to low enrollment, assessment was not
Outcome for this academic program? Student	conducted on this campus
Learning Outcomes should be stated in	·
measurable terms (i.e. students will be able	
to)	
SLO 1: What instrument (assessment type) was	NA
used to measure student's ability to	
demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome?	
(I.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech,	
demonstration of ability, lab assignment) and	
provide specific details of the instrument (e.g.	
Exam 2, Course HLSA 3800; Final Group Project,	
HIST 3900) is learning outcome?	
SLO 1: What target performance level would a	NA
student need to achieve on the assessment	
instrument to demonstrate mastery of this	
learning outcome? (I.e. 80% of all students will	
earn an average grade of 75% or better on)	
SLO 1: Provide details for your target	NA
performance level established (i.e. accreditation	
requirement, past performance data, peer	
program review, etc.)	
SLO 1: During this assessment cycle, what	0
percent of the students who participated in this	
assessment met the target performance level	
and demonstrated mastery of this learning	
outcome.	
SLO 1: Improvement Plans and Evidence of	NA
changes based on an analysis of the results:	
What changes were implemented based on an	
analysis of the students' performance on this	
Student Learning Outcome? (Evidence of the	
improvement must be kept and filed in the	
department or academic unit including but not	
limited to: changes in exam questions, reading	
assignments, syllabi, course instruction	
materials or assignments. Both old versions and	
new versions should be kept on file for 10 years.	
Major changes to curriculum must go through	
the Academic Affairs process.)	

SLO 2: What is the second Student Learning	NA – Due to low enrollment, assessment was not
Outcome for this academic program? Student	conducted on this campus
Learning Outcomes should be stated in	
measurable terms (i.e. students will be able	
to)	
SLO 2: What instrument (assessment type) was	NA
used to measure student's ability to	
demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome?	
(I.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech,	
demonstration of ability, lab assignment) and	
provide specific details of the instrument (e.g.	
Exam 2, Course HLSA 3800; Final Group Project,	
HIST 3900) is learning outcome?	
SLO 2: What target performance level would a	NA
student need to achieve on the assessment	
instrument to demonstrate mastery of this	
learning outcome? (I.e. 80% of all students will	
earn an average grade of 75% or better on)	
SLO 2: Provide details for your target	NA
performance level established (i.e. accreditation	
requirement, past performance data, peer	
program review, etc.)	
SLO 2: During this assessment cycle, what	0
percent of the students who participated in this	
assessment met the target performance level	
and demonstrated mastery of this learning	
outcome.	
SLO 2: Improvement Plans and Evidence of	NA
changes based on an analysis of the results:	
What changes were implemented based on an	
analysis of the students' performance on this	
Student Learning Outcome? (Evidence of the	
improvement must be kept and filed in the	
department or academic unit including but not	
limited to: changes in exam questions, reading	
assignments, syllabi, course instruction	
materials or assignments. Both old versions and	
new versions should be kept on file for 10 years.	
Major changes to curriculum must go through	
the Academic Affairs process.)	
<u> </u>	•

SLO 3: What is the third Student Learning	NA – Due to low enrollment, assessment was not
Outcome for this academic program? Student	conducted on this campus
Learning Outcomes should be stated in	conducted on this earnpus
measurable terms (i.e. students will be able	
to)	
SLO 3: What instrument (assessment type) was	NA
used to measure student's ability to	IVA
demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome?	
(I.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech,	
demonstration of ability, lab assignment) and	
provide specific details of the instrument (e.g.	
Exam 2, Course HLSA 3800; Final Group Project,	
HIST 3900) is learning outcome?	
SLO 3: What target performance level would a	NA
student need to achieve on the assessment	IVA
instrument to demonstrate mastery of this	
learning outcome? (I.e. 80% of all students will	
earn an average grade of 75% or better on)	
SLO 3: Provide details for your target	NA
performance level established (i.e. accreditation	IVA
requirement, past performance data, peer	
program review, etc.)	
SLO 3: During this assessment cycle, what	0
percent of the students who participated in this	
assessment met the target performance level	
and demonstrated mastery of this learning	
outcome.	
SLO 3: Improvement Plans and Evidence of	NA
changes based on an analysis of the results:	
What changes were implemented based on an	
analysis of the students' performance on this	
Student Learning Outcome? (Evidence of the	
improvement must be kept and filed in the	
department or academic unit including but not	
limited to: changes in exam questions, reading	
assignments, syllabi, course instruction	
materials or assignments. Both old versions and	
new versions should be kept on file for 10 years.	
Major changes to curriculum must go through	
the Academic Affairs process.)	

SLO 4: What is the fourth Student Learning Outcome for this academic program? Student Learning Outcomes should be stated in measurable terms (i.e. students will be able to)	NA
SLO 4: What instrument (assessment type) was used to measure student's ability to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (I.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech, demonstration of ability, lab assignment) and provide specific details of the instrument (e.g. Exam 2, Course HLSA 3800; Final Group Project, HIST 3900) is learning outcome?	NANA
SLO 4: What target performance level would a student need to achieve on the assessment instrument to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (I.e. 80% of all students will earn an average grade of 75% or better on)	NA
SLO 4: Provide details for your target performance level established (i.e. accreditation requirement, past performance data, peer program review, etc.)	NA
SLO 4: During this assessment cycle, what percent of the students who participated in this assessment met the target performance level and demonstrated mastery of this learning outcome.	0
SLO 4: Improvement Plans and Evidence of changes based on an analysis of the results: What changes were implemented based on an analysis of the students' performance on this Student Learning Outcome? (Evidence of the improvement must be kept and filed in the department or academic unit including but not limited to: changes in exam questions, reading assignments, syllabi, course instruction materials or assignments. Both old versions and new versions should be kept on file for 10 years. Major changes to curriculum must go through the Academic Affairs process.)	NA

Sampling

How many students participated in the	0
assessment of these learning outcomes, in this	
program, for this assessment cycle at this	
location?	

Open Box for Assessment Comments

Demoined to this field places decomes the	4. The assessment data are sufficient			
Required: In this field, please document the	1. The summative assessment data are collected			
overall use of assessment results for continuous	for all student outcomes. 2. The Faculty			
improvement (consider the past, present, and	Coordinator for each Student Outcome collects			
future and specifically address these in your	data on each Performance Indicator of a given			
narrative).	Student Outcome. Each Performance Indicator			
	has a designated course known as the Source of			
	Assessment. 3. Each Performance Indictor will			
	have an assessment instrument with associated			
	rubric(s) for the purpose of data collection. The			
	approved assessment instruments are attached			
	as appendices. 4. The Source of Assessment			
	Faculty Coordinator analyzes the data and			
	submits the results to the ABET Facilitator by			
	Week 3 of the semester following the data			
	collection. 5. The ABET Facilitator and the			
	Curriculum Committee convene to evaluate the			
	results by Week 8 of the semester following the			
	data collection. 6. The Curriculum Committee will			
	present their recommendations to the DoIT			
	Faculty by Week 12 of the semester following the			
	, ,			
	data collection. 7. Actions are taken based on the			
	findings and recommendations of the faculty to			
	improve student outcome for the next			
	assessment cycle.			
Optional Open Text Box For Assessment				
Comments:				
If the COVID-19 pandemic impacted this				
assessment cycle, please provide specific details				
below. (Also submit any COVID-19				
correspondence from your accrediting body to				
assessment@mga.edu when you submit this				
form with your Department name and program				
in the subject line.)				