Bachelor of Science in Social Work, Macon

Semester reporting: Spring Semester 2022

Reporting cycle: Annual Reporting Cycle

Academic Program Assessment Report Information

Prepared on: 7/28/2022 9:15:12 PM	Prepared by: rebekah.hazlettknuds@mga.edu
Email address of person responsible for this	rebekah.hazlettknuds@mga.edu
report:	
In which college or school is this program	Education and Behavioral Sciences
located?	
Program Type:	Undergraduate
Approximately how many students are in this	0
program at this location?	

Student Learning Outcomes

SLO1	
	Students will demonstrate ethical and
SLO 1: What is the first Student Learning Outcome for this academic program? Student	professional behavior.
• •	
Learning Outcomes should be stated in	
measurable terms (i.e. students will be able	
to)	
SLO 1: What instrument (assessment type) was	Junior Year Assessment
used to measure student's ability to	Ethical Dilemma Paper in SOCW 3200: Direct
demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome?	Practice I
(I.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech,	Senior Year Assessment
demonstration of ability, lab assignment) and	Field Practicum Final Evaluation (Competency 1
provide specific details of the instrument (e.g.	items) & ePortfolio Competency Presentation
Exam 2, Course HLSA 3800; Final Group Project,	Rubric (Competency 1 items) in Senior Year, Field
HIST 3900) is learning outcome?	Practicum II: SOCW 4600
SLO 1: What target performance level would a	Junior Year Assessment: 80% of students will
student need to achieve on the assessment	earn a score of at least 75% on the outcome
instrument to demonstrate mastery of this	assessment measure benchmark
learning outcome? (I.e. 80% of all students will	Senior Year Assessment: 80% of students will
earn an average grade of 75% or better on)	earn a score of at least 80% on the aggregate of
	assessment measures
SLO 1: Provide details for your target	Aligned with assessment requirement for Council
performance level established (i.e. accreditation	on Social Work Education (CSWE) Accreditation.
requirement, past performance data, peer	
program review, etc.)	
SLO 1: During this assessment cycle, what	Junior Year Assessment: N =21, 80.9% of
percent of the students who participated in this	students scored 75% or above on the assessment
assessment met the target performance level	measure. Met.
and demonstrated mastery of this learning	
outcome.	Senior Year Assessment: N=25, 92% of students
outcome.	scored an 80% or above on the aggregate of
	assessment measures. Met
SLO 1: Improvement Plans and Evidence of	Competency benchmark met in both junior and
changes based on an analysis of the results:	senior years, however ongoing program quality
What changes were implemented based on an	improvement is conducted through curriculum
analysis of the students' performance on this	review.
Student Learning Outcome? (Evidence of the	Teview.
improvement must be kept and filed in the	
department or academic unit including but not	
limited to: changes in exam questions, reading	
assignments, syllabi, course instruction	
materials or assignments. Both old versions and	
new versions should be kept on file for 10 years.	
Major changes to curriculum must go through	
the Academic Affairs process.)	

SLO2

SLO 2: What is the second Student Learning	Students will engage diversity and difference in
-	
Outcome for this academic program? Student	practice
Learning Outcomes should be stated in	
measurable terms (i.e. students will be able	
to)	
SLO 2: What instrument (assessment type) was	Junior Year Assessments
used to measure student's ability to	Final Written Self-Assessment in Junior Year,
demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome?	SOCW 3000
(I.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech,	
demonstration of ability, lab assignment) and	Senior Year Assessments
provide specific details of the instrument (e.g.	Field Practicum Final Evaluation (Competency 2
Exam 2, Course HLSA 3800; Final Group Project,	items) & ePortfolio Competency Presentation
HIST 3900) is learning outcome?	Rubric (Competency 2 items) in Senior Year, Field
	Practicum II: SOCW 4600
SLO 2: What target performance level would a	Junior Year Assessment: 80% of students will
student need to achieve on the assessment	earn a score of at least 75% on the outcome
instrument to demonstrate mastery of this	assessment measure benchmark
learning outcome? (I.e. 80% of all students will	Senior Year Assessment: 80% of students will
earn an average grade of 75% or better on)	earn a score of at least 80% on the aggregate of
	assessment measures
SLO 2: Provide details for your target	Aligned with assessment requirement for Council
performance level established (i.e. accreditation	on Social Work Education (CSWE) Accreditation
requirement, past performance data, peer	
program review, etc.)	
SLO 2: During this assessment cycle, what	Junior Year Assessment: N=12, 83% of students
percent of the students who participated in this	scored 75% or above on the assessment
assessment met the target performance level	measure. Met
and demonstrated mastery of this learning	
outcome.	Senior Year Assessment: N= 25, 91.5% of
	students scored an 80% or above on the
	aggregate of assessment measures. Met
SLO 2: Improvement Plans and Evidence of	Competency benchmark met in both junior and
changes based on an analysis of the results:	senior years, however ongoing program quality
What changes were implemented based on an	improvement is conducted through curriculum
analysis of the students' performance on this	review.
Student Learning Outcome? (Evidence of the	
improvement must be kept and filed in the	
department or academic unit including but not	
limited to: changes in exam questions, reading	
assignments, syllabi, course instruction	
materials or assignments. Both old versions and	
new versions should be kept on file for 10 years.	
Major changes to curriculum must go through	
the Academic Affairs process.)	

SLO3

SLO 3: What is the third Student Learning	Students will advance human rights and social,
Outcome for this academic program? Student	economic, and environmental justice
Learning Outcomes should be stated in	
measurable terms (i.e. students will be able	
to)	
SLO 3: What instrument (assessment type) was	Junior Year Assessments:
used to measure student's ability to	Letter to a Decision Maker in Junior Year, SOCW
demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome?	3700: Policy
(I.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech,	
demonstration of ability, lab assignment) and	Senior Year Assessments:
provide specific details of the instrument (e.g.	Field Practicum Final Evaluation (Competency 3
Exam 2, Course HLSA 3800; Final Group Project,	items) & ePortfolio Competency Presentation
HIST 3900) is learning outcome?	Rubric (Competency 3 items) in Senior Year, Field
, , ,	Practicum II: SOCW 4600
SLO 3: What target performance level would a	Junior Year Assessment: 80% of students will
student need to achieve on the assessment	earn a score of at least 75% on the outcome
instrument to demonstrate mastery of this	assessment measure benchmark
learning outcome? (I.e. 80% of all students will	Senior Year Assessment: 80% of students will
earn an average grade of 75% or better on)	earn a score of at least 80% on the aggregate of
can an average grade of 75% of better of	assessment measures
SLO 3: Provide details for your target	Aligned with assessment requirement for Council
performance level established (i.e. accreditation	on Social Work Education (CSWE) Accreditation
requirement, past performance data, peer	on social work Education (cswe) Accreditation
program review, etc.)	
SLO 3: During this assessment cycle, what	Junior Year Assessment: N=21, 95% of scored
percent of the students who participated in this	75% or above on the assessment measure.
assessment met the target performance level	Met
	Met
and demonstrated mastery of this learning	Conjer Veer Assessment N=25, 01, 50% of students
outcome.	Senior Year Assessment: N=25, 91.5% of students
	scored an 80% or above on the aggregate of
	assessment measures. Met
SLO 3: Improvement Plans and Evidence of	Competency benchmark met in both junior and
changes based on an analysis of the results:	senior years, however ongoing program quality
What changes were implemented based on an	improvement is conducted through curriculum
analysis of the students' performance on this	review.
Student Learning Outcome? (Evidence of the	
improvement must be kept and filed in the	
department or academic unit including but not	
limited to: changes in exam questions, reading	

assignments, syllabi, course instruction
materials or assignments. Both old versions and
new versions should be kept on file for 10 years.
Major changes to curriculum must go through
the Academic Affairs process.)

SLO4

SLO 4: What is the fourth Student Learning	Students will engage in practice-informed
Outcome for this academic program? Student	research and research-informed practice
Learning Outcomes should be stated in	research and research-informed practice
measurable terms (i.e. students will be able	
SLO 4: What instrument (assessment type) was	Junior Year Assessments
used to measure student's ability to	Final Examination & Journal Critique in Junior
demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome?	Year, Policy Practice: SOCW 3600:
(I.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech,	
demonstration of ability, lab assignment) and	Senior Year Assessments
provide specific details of the instrument (e.g.	Field Practicum Final Evaluation (Competency 4
Exam 2, Course HLSA 3800; Final Group Project,	items) & ePortfolio Competency Presentation
HIST 3900) is learning outcome?	Rubric (Competency 4 items) in Senior Year, Field
	Practicum II: SOCW 4600
SLO 4: What target performance level would a	Junior Year Assessment: 80% of students will
student need to achieve on the assessment	earn a score of at least 75% on the outcome
instrument to demonstrate mastery of this	assessment measure benchmark
learning outcome? (I.e. 80% of all students will	Senior Year Assessment: 80% of students will
earn an average grade of 75% or better on)	earn a score of at least 80% on the aggregate of
	assessment measures
SLO 4: Provide details for your target	Aligned with assessment requirement for Council
performance level established (i.e. accreditation	on Social Work Education (CSWE) Accreditation
requirement, past performance data, peer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
program review, etc.)	
SLO 4: During this assessment cycle, what	Junior Year Assessments: N=21, Final exam:
percent of the students who participated in this	19/21 = 90%, Journal Critique: 17/21 = 80%
assessment met the target performance level	N=25, 85% of students scored a 75% or above on
and demonstrated mastery of this learning	the aggregate of assessment measures.
outcome.	Met
	Senior Year Assessments: N=25, 85.75% of
	students scored an 80% or above on the
	aggregate of assessment measures. Met
	appresate of assessment measures. Met

SLO 4: Improvement Plans and Evidence of	Competency benchmark met in both junior and
changes based on an analysis of the results:	senior years, however ongoing program quality
What changes were implemented based on an	improvement is conducted through curriculum
analysis of the students' performance on this	review.
Student Learning Outcome? (Evidence of the	
improvement must be kept and filed in the	
department or academic unit including but not	
limited to: changes in exam questions, reading	
assignments, syllabi, course instruction	
materials or assignments. Both old versions and	
new versions should be kept on file for 10 years.	
Major changes to curriculum must go through	
the Academic Affairs process.)	

Sampling

How many students participated in the	48
assessment of these learning outcomes, in this	
program, for this assessment cycle at this	
location?	

Open Box for Assessment Comments

Required: In this field, please document the overall use of assessment results for continuous improvement (consider the past, present, and future and specifically address these in your narrative). Optional Open Text Box For Assessment Comments:	Assessment results drive curriculum changes in the program to ensure competency outcomes across the 9 CSWE Competencies. Assessment results are reviewed at the end of each academic year during BSW faculty meetings. Curriculum and programmatic changes are made based upon competency outcomes data. Our program has 9 learning outcomes. A full report has been sent to Dr. David Biek that includes all 9 competencies.
If the COVID-19 pandemic impacted this assessment cycle, please provide specific details below. (Also submit any COVID-19 correspondence from your accrediting body to assessment@mga.edu when you submit this form with your Department name and program in the subject line.)	