Enterprise Systems Management

Office or Department of Academic Affairs Administrative Unit Assessment Year Reporting: FY 20 (July 2019-July 2020)

Department and Assessment Report Information

Prepared on: 7/28/2020 3:56:29 PM	Prepared by: geoffrey.dyer@mga.edu
For which department or area are you reporting?	Enterprise Systems Management
What is the name and MGA email address of the person responsible for this report?	Geoffrey Dyer, geoffrey.dyer@mga.edu

Departmental Mission and Goals

The mission and goals of the department should be consistent over a 5 year period, although some institutional changes may necessitate and prompt a change in mission or goals for specific departments. In this section, you will report the mission statement for your department as well as the long term goals (5 year range) for the department.

What is the mission statement for this	To provide highly available, enterprise-wide,	
department/area? Your mission should explain	services and support for the academic and	
why the department/area exists and who it	administrative communities of Middle Georgia	
serves.	State University.	

What are the goals for this department? These	To provide reliable and secure systems for the	
should be the "big things" the department/area	University	
intends to accomplish within 5 years.	To provide an accurate, functional website for	
	the University	
	·	

Objectives

Each year, every department should identify objectives the department hopes to accomplish in the next year. These should align with departmental goals and the MGA strategic plan. In the next section you will be reporting on the objectives you set and whether or not you achieved them in FY20. Later in the document you will report on objectives you hope to accomplish in the coming fiscal year, FY21.

, <u> 3</u>	
Objective 1: What was this department's first	ESM will reduce the number of Windows Server
objective for this fiscal year? Objectives should	2008 servers from 17 to 0.
be specific, measurable, and achievable within	
one year.	
Objective 1: Detail how your department	Count the number of Windows 2008 servers
measured this objective? (Survey, budget	(system documentation)
number, number of participants, jobs	
completed, measurable time and/or effort)	
Objective 1: What was your target outcome for	The target outcome was to reduce Windows
this objective? (1.e. 80% participation, 5%	2008 servers by 100%. (17 to 0 servers)
enrollment growth, 7% change in engagement)	
Objective 1: At what level did the	ESM reduced Windows 2008 servers by 88%. (17
department/area achieve on this objective?	to 2 servers)
(This should be a number, i.e. 82%, 6%, 345	
attendees, 75% engagement)	
Objective 1: Did your department meet this	The department did not meet this objective.
objective?	
Objective 1: What did your department learn	OTR was able to decommission all but two
from working toward this objective? What	servers. The two remaining servers are critical
changes will you make based on this effort next	servers and cannot be decommissioned until a
year?	replacement system is implemented.
	Unfortunately, project delays due to
	unanticipated funding issues prevented
	implementation of the new system before July
	2020. Funding has been approved and a
	replacement system is on track for
	implementation in late 2020. Shortly after the
	replacement system goes online and is tested,
	the two remaining 2008 servers will be
	decommissioned. ESM will now shift this goal to
	focus on Windows Server 2012R2 upgrades.

	1
Objective 2: What was this department's second	ESM critical servers will have at least 99% uptime.
objective for this fiscal year? Objectives should	
be specific, measurable, and achievable within	
one year.	
Objective 2: Detail how your department	This objective is measured by producing a server
measured this objective? (Survey, budget	uptime report from ESM's monitoring software
number, number of participants, jobs	(July 1, 2019 – June 30, 2020).
completed, measurable time and/or effort)	
Objective 2: What was your target outcome for	The target outcome was 99% uptime for all
this objective? (1.e. 80% participation, 5%	critical servers.
enrollment growth, 7% change in engagement)	
Objective 2: At what level did the	19/20 (95%) critical servers had 99% uptime.
department/area achieve on this objective?	
(This should be a number, i.e. 82%, 6%, 345	
attendees, 75% engagement)	
Objective 2: Did your department meet this	The department did not meet this objective.
objective?	
Objective 2: What did your department learn	During an upgrade, one of our campus bookstore
from working toward this objective? What	servers had a catastrophic failure and was down
changes will you make based on this effort next	for several day. Attempts to recover were
year?	unsuccessful and the vendor was called in (at
·	cost) to completely rebuild the system. Two
	underlying issues were discovered incomplete
	system documentation and an non-validated
	system backup. As a result, backup validation will
	be a new goal for FY21.
	After reviewing this year's uptime report, we
	, , ,
	realized many critical systems achieved better
	than 99.9% uptime so ESM will adjust this coming
	year's goal from 99% to 99.9% accordingly.

Objective 3: What was this department's third	ESM will achieve a server patch management
objective for this fiscal year? Objectives should	level of 100% each month.
be specific, measurable, and achievable within	
one year.	
Objective 3: Detail how your department	Completed updates are recorded in the ESM
measured this objective? (Survey, budget	Server Update Log each month.
number, number of participants, jobs	
completed, measurable time and/or effort)	
Objective 3: What was your target outcome for	All (100%) ESM servers were updated monthly.
this objective? (1.e. 80% participation, 5%	
enrollment growth, 7% change in engagement)	
Objective 3: At what level did the	All (100%) ESM servers were updated monthly.
department/area achieve on this objective?	
(This should be a number, i.e. 82%, 6%, 345	
attendees, 75% engagement)	
Objective 3: Did your department meet this	The department met this objective.
objective?	
Objective 3: What did your department learn	ESM incorporated server patching into required
from working toward this objective? What	monthly maintenance procedures. No further
changes will you make based on this effort next	improvements were identified so this goal will
year?	not be continued into the next year.

terprise Systems Management will improve ebpage load times by at least 10%.	
eppage load times by at least 10%.	
e identified average web page loading times for	
veral MGA News web pages, applied changes,	
d then measured average load-times again.	
r target was at least a 10% improvement in	
ge load times.	
e improved average load times by 43%.	
The department exceeded this objective.	
a result of these improvements, we applied	
anges throughout MGA's News sub-site and,	
nere applicable, throughout the website. ESM	
will continue to identify poorly performing	
ebpages and improve MGA's overall website	
perience.	
v c lill e	

Future Plans

Please identify and detail three to four measurable objectives for the next fiscal year. In listing the objectives, please use the format shown in these examples.1) The Department of X will improve services levels by 5% as measured by our satisfaction survey. 2) The department of X will provide training in ABC for at least 73 MGA faculty and staff.

Based on this assessment, please share your thoughts on the current status and future direction of this department or area.

- 1) ESM will upgrade 30% of its Windows Server 2012R2 servers to Windows 2016 or better.
- 2) All ESM critical servers will have at least 99.9% annual uptime.
- 3) ESM will validate backups for 50% of its critical systems annually.
- 4) ESM will improve webpage load times by 10% for the poorest performing web pages.

The department of Enterprise Systems
Management is currently well-positioned to meet
its mission. We made significant improvements
with our news pages, with our server 2008
reductions, and we met our goals for monthly
server patches. We did not meet our 100%
uptime goal, but this highlighted the need for a
new goal regarding backup validation.
In addition, we are modifying our server upgrade
goals to focus on Windows Server 2012R2
upgrades. Based on our experience with the
previous goal, we need to upgrade at least 1/3 of
our servers each year to meet the Microsoft
imposed end-of-support deadline for 2012R2 in
October 2023.

Open Box for Additional Comments

Open Text Box For Assessment Comments:	
If the COVID-19 pandemic impacted this	
assessment cycle, please provide specific details	
below.	